REMARKS

In the last Office Action, restriction was required between Invention I, claims 1-19, drawn to an electrochemical cell, and Invention II, claims 19-21, drawn to a method for producing an electrochemical cell. The Examiner stated that the inventions are distinct from one another thereby making restriction proper. In addition, the Examiner required restriction between patentably distinct Species I-1/I-2 and Species II-1/II-2/II-3 and required that one species be elected for further prosecution.

In response to the restriction and election requirements, applicants provisionally elect Invention I and list claims 10-18 as being readable on the elected invention and Species I-2 and list claims 10-18 as being readable on the elected species.

Early and favorable action are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ADAMS & WILKS Attorneys for Applicants

By:_[

Bruce L.

Reg. No. 25,386

50 Broadway - 31st Floor New York, NY 10004 (212) 809-3700

MAILING CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: MS FEE NON-AMENDMENT, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date indicated below.

Donna Riccardulli

Name

Signature

MAY 22, 2007

Date